

The Structure of Maritime Service Portfolio(s) (MSP)

Jan-Hendrik Oltmann, Germany "e-Navigation Architect" @ IALA, ACCSEAS, and elsewhere



Presentation overview

- The context of the Maritime Service Portfolios (MSPs)
- 2014, SIP completed what next?
- The Structure of MSP, proper
- Summary & Conclusions

The architect speaks,

here in "construction site management" mode.

Disclaimer: The views presented here are those of the presenter and should not be construed as those of the administration.



"Bringing the maritime domain into the digital age"

= Existing services to be transformed/adapted

- + Innovative service introduced
- How to facilitate that paradigm shift in an orderly manner with "everybody onboard"?
- How to govern the huge complexity?
- How to break it down? I. e. reduce it into chunks that people/organizations can chew?



The Context of the MSPs /2 The place of MSPs in overarching architecture

Let's start with something well known ...



... and consider its inherent power to answer above questions.

* IMO MSC85/26, Annex 20, 4, MSC-adopted 2008



The Context of the MSPs /3 The place of MSPs in overarching architecture



The Context of the MSPs /4

The "Seven Pillars of e-Navigation" – the application of the e-Navigation architecture to e-Navigation project management



The Context of the MSPs /5



The "Seven Pillars of e-Navigation"



Seven pillars = seven working field where recognizable communities can work while being in sync with e-Navigation international movement at large => *Means to reduce complexity*

The Context of the MSPs /6



The "Practical Solutions" + "Seven Pillars"

IMO/NAV have developed "practical solutions" to prioritize work. How do they use "seven pillars"?





The Context of the MSPs /7 The "Practical Solutions" + "Seven Pillars" / Example





The Context of the MSPs /8 The "Practical Solutions" + "Seven Pillars"

Conclusions:

1. All "practical solutions" will require "ingredients / contributions" from several pillars.

=> Determine those "ingredients"

- 2. "Practical solution" = another means to reduce complexity
 - => Combine with "Seven Pillars" approach

2014, SIP completed – what next? /1 IMO Governance + digital innovation delivered



Let's put ourselves into the near future: 1-2 years, only.



2014, SIP completed – what next? /2 How to facilitate harmonization large scale



<u>Plan projects</u> using "seven pillars" + <u>"Harmonization</u> <u>Group (HG)</u>" concept:







2014, SIP completed – what next? /3

How to facilitate harmonization large scale

<u>Plan projects</u> using "<u>seven pillars</u>" + <u>"Harmonization</u> <u>Group (HG)"</u> concept:

- Define <u>1 international project</u> for each of the pillars
- Each international project lead by a dedicated HG
- <u>Governance</u> of all international projects <u>concurrently</u> by <u>IMO</u> (MSC, new Sub-Committee structure)
- Core Terms of Reference for international projects / HGs:
 - Task in general terms: <u>Provide harmonization/co-ordination to progress</u> <u>assigned "pillar" (working field) in the spirit of e-Navigation.</u>
 - <u>Contribute "ingredients"</u> of assigned working field <u>to "practical solutions"</u>;
 - <u>Develop</u> respective working field <u>at large using expert knowledge;</u>
 - <u>Liaise</u> with relevant <u>international organisations;</u>
 - <u>Liaise</u> with <u>other 6 HGs</u> as needed to achieve consistency;
 - <u>Report to IMO governing body.</u>





Listen to what I have NOT said:

I have <u>NOT</u> said,

that IMO is supposed to run all or even most of the international projects themselves;

I have <u>NOT</u> said,

that IMO is supposed to support all proposed Harmonization Groups (HGs) themselves.

What I HAVE implied, though, is,

that IMO develop their way how to introduce required flexibility while maintaining desired governance.



The structure of MSP, proper /1 Topics identified regarding MSP Structure

- By very definition, MSPs have internal structure.
- Following architectural topics have been recognized:
 - Designating and naming MSPs: Categorization of MSPs as a means to handle complexity
 - Service / MSP provision area definitions:
 - several recognized ways to define areas in different services' domains;
 - blanket coverage vs. traffic-related coverage (route topology-based)
 - Metadata on MSP (MSP catalogue/ status) in the CMDS
 - Dynamic service / MSP selection at vessel during a voyage: "spectrum" of available services
 - Pre-selection of service usage for a voyage in advance
 - Dynamical (i.e. time-variable) MSPs offered

- These topics must have be addressed (eventually) in order to <u>arrive at a viable MSP architecture /</u> <u>structure.</u>



The structure of MSP, proper /2 Service "Spectra"





The structure of MSP, proper /3 Service "Spectra" + Management of Requirements





The structure of MSP, proper /4 Service "Spectrum" + Management of Requirements

Power of the services spectra concept:

All services (existing + new) <u>identified</u>, <u>named</u> and <u>thereby recognized</u> in an <u>internationally harmonized</u> manner => *catalogues of services*.

<u>Service levels</u>, <u>service quality parameters</u> (existing + new) equally identified, named and thereby recognized in internationally harmonized manner => catalogue of service levels + service parameters.

Such catalogues may be <u>transferred into a data model</u> (product specification within S-100 framework) at CMDS => *electronic exchange feasible and measurement of service spectra at run time.*

<u>Service-to-service dependencies (= requirements)</u> would be known exactly => applicability of requirement management methods.

<u>International, harmonized role assignment</u> for maintaining service descriptions by clearly identified international organisations feasible.

Reduction of complexity regarding MSP handling.

<u>Synergies</u> for (at least technical) services could potentially be gained by using same service levels + service parameters for several requirements.



The structure of MSP, proper /5 MSP Management + the Lifecycles of MSP

How can governance of complex MSP/service spectra be achieved?

Don't re-invent the wheel

... It's there! <u>LITERALLY</u>

Remember <u>"digital age"</u>

- \Rightarrow Learning from <u>IT</u>
- ⇒ Use <u>ISO 20000</u> series (ITIL V3 toolbox)





Conclusions:

- Introduce <u>"Maritime Service Portfolio Management"</u>
 - = governance "tool" for IMO
 = what services with what features!?
- Using the <u>best practice "tool box"</u> <u>ITIL V3 (ISO 20000)</u> when developing MSPs and services
- <u>Initial</u> "Maritime Service Portfolio Management" to be developed by proposed <u>international project</u>, lead by proposed <u>Harmonization Group on MSP</u> ("HG-MSP")



The structure of MSP, proper /7 Impact of MSP Management on Service Definitions

There <u>will be</u> an impact <u>on basically all services</u> in the service spectrum (in the maritime domain)!

How to proceed? – Step-by-step as follows ("cookbook"):

- 1. <u>Extract functional essence of services</u>, if not already done (i.e. create services descriptions <u>NOT depending on any specific technology</u>).
- 2. <u>Consolidate existing requirement base</u> in accordance with above functional essence.
- 3. <u>Add / complete / describe important service parameters</u>, namely service levels and service quality parameters.
- 4. <u>Harmonize service descriptions</u> with other pillar's results, in particular with CMDS (HGDM).

Above steps can be given for each service <u>to a dedicated international</u> <u>working community</u> to work on + finalize. Thus <u>reduce complexity</u>.



Definitely the last slide – Iconic Summary

