

- The level of concluding the Convention (either States or Governments) should determine a unitary language (ex. the Preamble starts as having the Convention concluded at States level, but in its last paragraph, there is a mention to the "will of Governments"; similarly, there are other references to Governments in the last articles of the Convention);
- Paragraph 2 in article 1 should establish, on one hand, the precise location, and on the other hand, the possibility to change it, through a decision of the General Assembly (under a defined procedure)
- The need for a clearly defined and unitary language as far as membership is concerned. Should the term "Member States" prevail over the term "Contracting Parties", in reference to States/Governments party to the Convention, the other two categories (currently defined in the project as associate and affiliate members) should be very clearly defined, preferably in the text of the Convention, not in the General Regulations.
- All provisions related to financial contributions should be included in separate articles (article 4 para 4-7 should be included in a separate article)
- Establishing the budget contribution of each category of the members, with a view to taking into consideration the budgetary concerns of the countries willing to participate in the new international organisation;
- When establishing the different organs of the future international organisation, due consideration should be given to specific mandates of the respective bodies, with a view to avoid overlapping and unnecessary procedures (for example, some of the tasks proposed to be attributed to the Council, as proscribed in art. 7, could be performed by the Secretariat or different other Committees/bodies);
- At the same time, the General Assembly should have the decisive role in adopting the budget;
- A more coherent procedure should be elaborated for the adoption and the rejection of an amendment (the difference between 2/3 majority vs. ¼ majority in art. 12 paras 3 and 4)
- Article 17, regulating the termination of the Convention: such a decision should be taken by a more representative majority (ex. 2/3 majority of the Contracting Parties), rather than 2/3 of the present and voting Contracting Parties
- There should be more clarity in art. 18 – Referring to transitional arrangements, the relation between the new international organisation and the former IALA, especially with a view to the fact the main organs of IALA (Council, Secretariat, and Committee) become transitional organs within the new organisation.

- Within the final provisions, we suggest the replacement of „each text” with „all texts”.